



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,729	07/13/2006	Neil Cashman	02833.0001U3	7149
1059	7590	09/09/2009	EXAMINER	
BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.			WANG, CHANG YU	
40 KING STREET WEST				
BOX 401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			1649	
CANADA				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/568,729	CASHMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHANG-YU WANG	1649	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHANG-YU WANG. (3) Noel Courage.

(2) Christine Saoud. (4) Scott Duncan.

Date of Interview: Sep 2, 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US7041807, US2002/0123072, US6677125.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Discussed the issues listed in the attached agenda proposed by the Applicant including the rejections under 112-1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, lack of scope of enablement & lack of written description and the rejections under 102 (b) & (e) (see the attached agenda). 2. Discussed possible claim amendments to limit the scope of or further define scope of candidate polypeptide, blocking agent, modifying and detection agent recited in independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C.Y. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 1649	/Christine J. Saoud/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647
--------------------------------------	---